



REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: “Gender Differences in the Use of Foul Language in Punjabi”

Submitted: October 2022

Accepted: May 2023

Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Khushnood Akhter

Email: [khushnoodakhter4@gmail.com](mailto:khushnoodakhter4@gmail.com)

Doi:10.19044/llc.v10no2a2

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Olga Bălănescu

Reviewer 2: Sonia Maria

Melchiorre

Published: 31.10.2023

---

## LLC Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: LLC promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***LLC editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands LLC out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name:Olga Bălănescu	Reviewer Email:balanescuo@yahoo.co.uk
Date Manuscript Received:19.02.23	Date Review Report Submitted:20.02.23/19.04.23
Manuscript Title:Gender Differences in the Use of Foul Language in Punjabi	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:    yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/ You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a number rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each rating.

<b>Questions</b>	<b>Rating Result</b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b> <i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	5
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents aims, methods and results.</b>	5
<b>3. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	5
<b>4. The results are clear and consistent with the aims.</b>	5

<b>5. The discussion and conclusions are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	5
---	---

<b>7. There are grammar and spelling errors.</b>	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Only the male/female distinction is not enough in this research, The author should also focus on their age, income, culture and education.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**



---

## LLC Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: LLC promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***LLC editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands LLC out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: SONIA MARIA MELCHIORRE	Reviewer Email: melchiorresmr@unitus.it
Date Manuscript Received: 07 March 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 18 April 2023
Manuscript Title: GENDER DIFFERENCES IN THE USE OF FOUL LANGUAGE IN PUNJABI	
Manuscript Number: LLC 2301	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: YES	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a number rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each rating.

<b>Questions</b>	<b>Rating Result</b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	4
<i>Yes the title is clear and it well introduces the content of the article</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents aims, methods and results.</b>	4
<i>Yes the abstract clearly presents the perspective, methods, aims and results of the article</i>	

<b>3. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	4
<i>The study methods are explained in detail and accuracy and represents an interesting specimen of its kind in the field of linguistic research and analysis</i>	
<b>4. The results are clear and consistent with the aims.</b>	5
<i>The results are interesting and illuminating and original</i>	
<b>5. The discussion and conclusions are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	4
<i>The conclusions seem to leave some space to discuss a new perspective on the language considered in the research. The survey and the following analysis of the data could have been larger but it was fairly managed by the author. The article's strongest points are the original perspective and the reference to the main studies concerned with the study of coarse language, swearing and taboo words.</i>	

<b>6. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. There are grammar and spelling errors.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

