



REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: **“On the Technique of Irony in Fielding’s Tom Jones”**

Corresponding Author: Hosni M. El-dali

Email: [hasan.mostafa@uaeu.ac.ae](mailto:hasan.mostafa@uaeu.ac.ae)

Doi: 10.19044/llc.v7no4a1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Priscilla Chantal Duarte Silva

Federal University of Itajuba, Brazil

Reviewer 2: Angelika Riyandari

Soegijapranata Catholic University, Semarang, Indonesia

Published: 30.12.2020





## *LLC Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: LLC promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*LLC editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands LLC out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received: 18.11	Date Review Report Submitted: 21.11
Manuscript Title: <b>On the Technique of Irony and Characterization in Fielding's Tom Jones</b>	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	





**Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>The title is not clear. Because is difficult to understand what the author wish study on Fileding's technique. I'm sure that will be better change the title</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>In the abstract is necessary explain: objective, methodology, results and discussions and conclusion. It's not clear: "The present study sheds some light on <b>Fielding's technique</b>". Is very important explain what is the main objective of study.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>No mistakes grammatical. But in some parts of the text, the author don't say the publication date. Descartes (XXXX) is necessary to say the publication's year</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>No. It's necessary to explain the study methods in the abstract and as a chapter of article. There is not an analyses chapter and results an discussions.</i>	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>There are some parts not clear. It's necessary explain better the irony in the examples.</i>	





*What is difference between Satire and romance in terms of humor? It's not clear.*

*Explain better what's mean* "While farce depends upon the physical use of power and romance upon hopes and expectations"

*Ok but which attitudes? In:* "It is the attitudes of the reader as much as those of the characters that are being subject to examination by the novelist (see le Boeuf, 2007; Gibbs, 1994; Attardo, 2000; Chen, 1990; Barbe, 1995; Kruez & Roberts, 1993; Clark & Gerrig, 1984)"

*Explain better what is to be more flexible In:* "reader's mind has to be more flexible end capable of grasping shades of meaning".

*See Raskin (1944) In:* "It might be notable as well that incongruity arises between what might be expected and what actually occurs"

*It's confused:* "In case that such communion is undermined, then it is to be the author's inability to bring the reader in line with him, and not the reader's deficiency to comprehend the values presented by the author".

**6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.**

**3**

*No. It's necessary supported by the content better*

**7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.**

**4**

*Yes but the author could use news current references*

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**





*Explain the objective*

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

*Accept it with conditions*



International Journal of Linguistics,  
Literature and Culture





## *LLC Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: LLC promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*LLC editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands LLC out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received: 19 November 2020	Date Review Report Submitted: 22 November 2020
Manuscript Title: On the Technique of Irony and Characterization in Fielding's Tom Jones	
Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
------------------	--





<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
The title is clear. It adequately covers the content of the article.	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
The abstract is clear. It explains the object, methods and results of the study.	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
There are typos that should be revised. Attention should be paid to capitalization as well. Some direct quotations (those in the discussion) are bold. Check whether it is really necessary to present the direct quotations in bold.	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
The methods are adequately explained in the Introduction.	
<b>5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.</b>	
The paper presents a thorough analysis of irony in Fielding's Tom Jones.	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	
The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content. However, it is better to address Fielding's technique of irony in the beginning of the conclusion.	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	
The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>





Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

It is in overall a very good paper. More attention should be paid to spellings and capitalizations.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

