

REVIEW HISTORY

Paper: "Multilingualism and the management of small and medium-sized enterprises: the case of Sicilian firm websites and related localization strategies"

Submitted: 20 October 2021 Accepted: 07 March 2022 Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: Giuseppina Di Gregorio Email: giudigr@unict.it

Doi: 10.19044/llc.v9no1a22

Peer review: Reviewer 1: Barbara Cappuzzo

Reviewer 2: Franca Daniele

Published: 31.03.2022





LLC Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: LLC promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

LLC editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands LLC out from the crowd!

| Date Manuscript Received: Novembre 15, 2021 | Date Review Report Submitted: 2 December 2021 | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Manuscript Title: | | | | | |
| Multilingualism and firms' management: the case of Sicilian firms' | | | | | |
| websites and localisation strategies | | | | | |
| C | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Manuscript Number: | | | | | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes | | | | | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes | | | | | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes | | | | | |

Evaluation Criteria:





Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| Questions | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 5 | | |
| The title is clear and fits the content of the article | | | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. The abstract is clear but does not include the methods and the results | 4 | | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4,5 | | |
| Some expressions should be revised and converted into a more formal style | | | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. The methods are clear | 5 | | |
| (Please insert your comments) | | | |
| 5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. | 5 | | |
| The body of the paper is clear | | | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 5 | | |
| The conclusions are accurate | | | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 5 | | |
| The reference list fits the content | | | |
| | | | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| Accepted, no revision needed | |
|--|---|
| Accepted, minor revision needed | Х |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |





Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This paper is very interesting. To add value to it, linguistic revision is recommended as for stylistic aspects.

The study methods should be included in the abstract.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Culture





LLC Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: LLC promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

LLC editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands LLC out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: January 17, 2022 Date Review Report Submitted: January 17, 2022

Manuscript Title: Multilingualism and the management of small and medium-sized enterprises: the case of Sicilian firm websites and related localisation strategies

Manuscript Number:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| | Questions | Rating Result |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------|
| Questions | [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] | |





| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 4 |
|---|---|
| The title is adequate | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 4 |
| The abstract is very clear. | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 3 |
| The paper needs further language revision | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 4 |
| The methods are explained comprehensively. | |
| 5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. | 4 |
| The body of the paper contains only a few language problems. | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 4 |
| Yes, the conclusions are accurate and consistent with the aim. | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 4 |
| e references are missing | |
| | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| Accepted, no revision needed | |
|--|---|
| Accepted, minor revision needed | Х |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):





Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



International Journal of Linguistics, Literature and Culture

